

From: HarborComments <HarborComments@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 12:18 PM
To: PortlandHarbor
Subject: FW: Harbor Comments- Correction!

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 5:50 PM
To: HarborComments <HarborComments@epa.gov>
Subject: Harbor Comments- Correction!

Regarding my comments on your Draft Plan, I would like you to consider dredging "at least 1000 acres" of the most highly contaminated sediment from the river, not merely "100" acres as noted in my initial comments! Big difference!

Thank you,

(b) (6)
Portland, OR 97217

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Wednesday, September 7, 2016 12:16 AM
To: harborcomments@epa.gov
Subject: Harbor Comments

Dear EPA Region 10,

I am an employee of Willamette Riverkeeper, and a North Portland resident. The Portland Harbor Superfund site needs an aggressive clean up that protects people and wildlife for the longterm. In response to your Draft Plan, I feel you need to consider the following:

1. I support cleanup option "G" with amendments, namely that the overall goal of the cleanup should be to lift the fish consumption advisory for ALL people, including breast feeding women, related to the toxic pollutants found in the Portland Harbor area of the Willamette.
2. At least 100 acres of the most highly contaminated sediment should be dredged and removed to achieve this goal.
3. Your Draft Plan contains far too much "Monitored Natural Recovery." That is not a clean up strategy, but rather a do-nothing, let's "wait and see" approach, which has clearly not worked over the past 150 years of industrial activity in the Harbor, so why presume it would be a successful tactic for the next 150 years?

Please do your best for this river!

(b) (6)
Portland, OR 97217